Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/482,338	CALABRIA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>EDMUND H. LEE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>STEPHANIE SCRUGGS</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 October 2005	Time:	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	licant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: OBVIOUS DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION		
Claims discussed: THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE		
Prior art documents discussed: THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEI See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separal did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumn 	The examiner will provide a te record of the substance of	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: EXAMINER INFORMED MS. SCRUGGS THAT THE INSTANT CLAIMS CAN BE REJECTED UNDER OBVIOUS DOUBLE PATENTING. THE BASIS FOR THE REJECTIONS WOULD BE US PATENTS 5888437 AND 5733428. MS. SCRUGGS AGREED TO FILE TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS OVER US PATENTS 5888437 AND 5733428 IN ORDER TO OVERCOME THE OBVIOUS DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS. THE FILING OF THE TERMINAL DISCLAIMERS WOULD PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.